



## May 2013



## **Table of Contents**

|                                                                |    |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Background to project                                          | 3  |
| Assays performed to date                                       | 3  |
| Rif Concordance                                                | 6  |
| Errors                                                         | 7  |
| Monthly uptake since implementation started                    | 9  |
| Further project phases as defined in the NTCM model            | 9  |
| Specific GeneXpert Site Progress                               | 10 |
| Training: Laboratory and Clinical                              | 11 |
| Challenges identified during the course of the project to date | 11 |
| Literature Update                                              | 11 |
| Update on Research Projects                                    | 12 |
| TB/HIV Integration                                             | 13 |
| Grants Submitted                                               | 15 |
| Funding                                                        | 15 |
| Recent Campaigns                                               | 16 |

## **1. Background to Project**

This project was initiated at the request of the Honorable Minister of Health, Dr Aaron Motsoaledi, in early 2011, following the World Health Organization's strong recommendation published in December 2010 which stated that "the new automated DNA test for TB be used as the initial diagnostic test in individuals suspected of MDR-TB or HIV/TB". In essence this comprises the majority of TB suspects in South Africa. A pilot study was proposed by the TB Cluster within the National Department of Health (NDoH) while a project feasibility study was being performed with due diligence.

The pilot study was initiated in microscopy centres. The NDoH requested that at least 1 instrument be placed in each province, preferably in high burden districts. Selections were made by the TB cluster, with twenty-five microscopy centres being selected and a total of 30 instruments placed.

The NDoH funded 9 GX16 and 14 GX4 instruments for the project. FIND (The Foundation for Innovative New Diagnostics) donated 6 GX4 analysers and the Infinity or GX48 was supported by PEPFAR Right to Care funds. All instruments were placed by World TB day March 24<sup>th</sup> 2011. This placement represented about 10% of national coverage. The basis for the calculations was an assumption that 2 smears at diagnosis would be replaced by 1 Xpert<sup>®</sup> MTB/RIF assay. All instruments were interfaced to the NHLS Laboratory Information System (LIS) allowing for troubleshooting and data collection.

The remainder of the roll-out is being performed in a phased manner by the National Priority Programmes of the NHLS and the NDoH, the progress of which is described in point 6 below.

## **2. Assays performed to date**

In summary, a total of 1,451,361 specimens have been processed to date (31 May 2013). In May 150,862 specimens were processed. The total % of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex (MTBC) detected in this cohort was 10.79% (16,284). The percentage positivity has remained on average between 14 -16% country-wide. To date Kwa-Zulu Natal (KZN) has performed the greatest number of tests which is probably as a result of the number of instruments placed (refer to tables 1 & 2).

Average Rifampicin resistance detection rates have remained around 7% since project inception (Refer to tables 3 & 4).

**Table 1 GeneXpert MTB Results by province (01-31 May 2013)**

| Province           | MTB Detected  | MTB Not Detected | Test Unsuccessful | Grand Total    | % MTB Detected |
|--------------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Eastern Cape       | 3 981         | 31 469           | 1 254             | 36 704         | 10.85          |
| Free State         | 1 259         | 12 092           | 110               | 13 461         | 9.35           |
| Gauteng            | 2 176         | 16 798           | 849               | 19 823         | 10.98          |
| Kwa-Zulu Natal     | 3 102         | 23 490           | 1 566             | 28 158         | 11.02          |
| Limpopo            | 1 093         | 14 913           | 595               | 16 601         | 6.58           |
| Mpumalanga         | 636           | 3 329            | 124               | 4 089          | 15.55          |
| North West         | 889           | 7 283            | 522               | 8 694          | 10.23          |
| Northern Cape      | 617           | 4 290            | 280               | 5 187          | 11.90          |
| Western Cape       | 2 531         | 15 262           | 352               | 18 145         | 13.95          |
| <b>Grand Total</b> | <b>16 284</b> | <b>128 926</b>   | <b>5 652</b>      | <b>150 862</b> | <b>10.79</b>   |

**Table 2: GeneXpert MTB Results by province (cumulative)**

| Province       | Year | MTB Detected | MTB Not Detected | Test Unsuccessful | Total   | % MTB Detected |
|----------------|------|--------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|----------------|
| Eastern Cape   | 2011 | 3 294        | 15 413           | 555               | 19 262  | 17.10          |
| Eastern Cape   | 2012 | 16 092       | 85 782           | 2 893             | 104 767 | 15.36          |
| Eastern Cape   | 2013 | 15 696       | 99 416           | 3 363             | 118 475 | 13.25          |
| Free State     | 2011 | 2 844        | 14 830           | 33                | 17 707  | 16.06          |
| Free State     | 2012 | 11 667       | 77 094           | 280               | 89 041  | 13.10          |
| Free State     | 2013 | 5 681        | 47 922           | 397               | 54 000  | 10.52          |
| Gauteng        | 2011 | 3 102        | 18 890           | 424               | 22 416  | 13.84          |
| Gauteng        | 2012 | 11 057       | 72 748           | 2 285             | 86 090  | 12.84          |
| Gauteng        | 2013 | 9 195        | 65 302           | 2 851             | 77 348  | 11.89          |
| Kwa-Zulu Natal | 2011 | 13 172       | 47 523           | 1 729             | 62 424  | 21.10          |
| Kwa-Zulu Natal | 2012 | 25 766       | 144 201          | 6 233             | 176 200 | 14.62          |
| Kwa-Zulu Natal | 2013 | 14 760       | 97 000           | 5 860             | 117 620 | 12.55          |
| Limpopo        | 2011 | 2 088        | 17 870           | 173               | 20 131  | 10.37          |
| Limpopo        | 2012 | 4 239        | 31 465           | 700               | 36 404  | 11.64          |
| Limpopo        | 2013 | 4 893        | 53 830           | 2 366             | 61 089  | 8.01           |
| Mpumalanga     | 2011 | 2 643        | 12 769           | 1 107             | 16 519  | 16.00          |
| Mpumalanga     | 2012 | 4 055        | 22 020           | 1 122             | 27 197  | 14.91          |



|               |      |                |                  |               |                  |              |
|---------------|------|----------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|
| Mpumalanga    | 2013 | 2 522          | 14 228           | 659           | <b>17 409</b>    | <b>14.49</b> |
| North West    | 2011 | 3 476          | 14 887           | 657           | <b>19 020</b>    | <b>18.28</b> |
| North West    | 2012 | 5 174          | 29 003           | 1 977         | <b>36 154</b>    | <b>14.31</b> |
| North West    | 2013 | 4 215          | 30 131           | 1 641         | <b>35 987</b>    | <b>11.71</b> |
| Northern Cape | 2011 | 2 864          | 16 117           | 735           | <b>19 716</b>    | <b>14.53</b> |
| Northern Cape | 2012 | 4 432          | 23 654           | 1 192         | <b>29 278</b>    | <b>15.14</b> |
| Northern Cape | 2013 | 3 204          | 19 601           | 1 075         | <b>23 880</b>    | <b>13.42</b> |
| Western Cape  | 2011 | 2 204          | 10 093           | 31            | <b>12 328</b>    | <b>17.88</b> |
| Western Cape  | 2012 | 13 202         | 68 427           | 596           | <b>82 225</b>    | <b>16.06</b> |
| Western Cape  | 2013 | 10 806         | 56 559           | 1 263         | <b>68 628</b>    | <b>15.75</b> |
| <b>Total</b>  |      | <b>202 355</b> | <b>1 206 808</b> | <b>42 198</b> | <b>1 451 361</b> | <b>13.94</b> |

**Table 3: Provincial GeneXpert RIF Results in MTB detected cases (01-31 May 2013)**

| Row Labels         | Inconclusive | Resistant   | Sensitive    | No Rif Results | Grand Total  | % RIF Resistant |
|--------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Eastern Cape       | 119          | 264         | 3587         | 11             | 3981         | 6.63            |
| Free State         | 35           | 79          | 1144         | 1              | 1259         | 6.27            |
| Gauteng            | 56           | 133         | 1984         | 3              | 2176         | 6.11            |
| Kwa-Zulu Natal     | 58           | 261         | 2763         | 20             | 3102         | 8.41            |
| Limpopo            | 19           | 60          | 1006         | 8              | 1093         | 5.49            |
| Mpumalanga         | 5            | 64          | 562          | 5              | 636          | 10.06           |
| North West         | 22           | 57          | 810          | 0              | 889          | 6.41            |
| Northern Cape      | 16           | 37          | 564          | 0              | 617          | 6.00            |
| Western Cape       | 45           | 135         | 2351         | 0              | 2531         | 5.33            |
| <b>Grand Total</b> | <b>375</b>   | <b>1090</b> | <b>14771</b> | <b>48</b>      | <b>16284</b> | <b>6.69</b>     |

**Table 4: Provincial GeneXpert RIF Results in MTB detected cases (cumulative)**

| Province     | Year | Inconclusive | Resistant | Sensitive | No RIF Result | Total         | % RIF Resistant |
|--------------|------|--------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Eastern Cape | 2011 | 33           | 251       | 2 957     | 53            | <b>3 294</b>  | <b>7.62</b>     |
| Eastern Cape | 2012 | 213          | 1 098     | 14 647    | 134           | <b>16 092</b> | <b>6.82</b>     |
| Eastern Cape | 2013 | 319          | 1 103     | 14 176    | 98            | <b>15 696</b> | <b>7.03</b>     |
| Free State   | 2011 | 28           | 154       | 2 661     | 1             | <b>2 844</b>  | <b>5.41</b>     |
| Free State   | 2012 | 163          | 739       | 10 739    | 26            | <b>11 667</b> | <b>6.33</b>     |
| Free State   | 2013 | 134          | 314       | 5 226     | 7             | <b>5 681</b>  | <b>5.53</b>     |
| Gauteng      | 2011 | 27           | 177       | 2 897     | 1             | <b>3 102</b>  | <b>5.71</b>     |
| Gauteng      | 2012 | 137          | 765       | 10 085    | 70            | <b>11 057</b> | <b>6.92</b>     |
| Gauteng      | 2013 | 169          | 606       | 8 401     | 19            | <b>9 195</b>  | <b>6.59</b>     |



|                |      |              |               |                |              |                |              |
|----------------|------|--------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| Kwa-Zulu Natal | 2011 | 111          | 966           | 12 033         | 62           | <b>13 172</b>  | <b>7.33</b>  |
| Kwa-Zulu Natal | 2012 | 465          | 2 287         | 22 628         | 386          | <b>25 766</b>  | <b>8.88</b>  |
| Kwa-Zulu Natal | 2013 | 283          | 1 247         | 13 140         | 90           | <b>14 760</b>  | <b>8.45</b>  |
| Limpopo        | 2011 | 27           | 159           | 1 877          | 25           | <b>2 088</b>   | <b>7.61</b>  |
| Limpopo        | 2012 | 57           | 287           | 3 820          | 75           | <b>4 239</b>   | <b>6.77</b>  |
| Limpopo        | 2013 | 79           | 300           | 4 477          | 37           | <b>4 893</b>   | <b>6.13</b>  |
| Mpumalanga     | 2011 | 31           | 211           | 2 395          | 6            | <b>2 643</b>   | <b>7.98</b>  |
| Mpumalanga     | 2012 | 57           | 409           | 3 513          | 76           | <b>4 055</b>   | <b>10.09</b> |
| Mpumalanga     | 2013 | 42           | 299           | 2 166          | 15           | <b>2 522</b>   | <b>11.86</b> |
| North West     | 2011 | 40           | 304           | 3 128          | 4            | <b>3 476</b>   | <b>8.75</b>  |
| North West     | 2012 | 66           | 390           | 4 704          | 14           | <b>5 174</b>   | <b>7.54</b>  |
| North West     | 2013 | 79           | 264           | 3 844          | 28           | <b>4 215</b>   | <b>6.26</b>  |
| Northern Cape  | 2011 | 28           | 197           | 2 637          | 2            | <b>2 864</b>   | <b>6.88</b>  |
| Northern Cape  | 2012 | 64           | 273           | 4 085          | 10           | <b>4 432</b>   | <b>6.16</b>  |
| Northern Cape  | 2013 | 58           | 161           | 2 700          | 285          | <b>3 204</b>   | <b>5.02</b>  |
| Western Cape   | 2011 | 15           | 106           | 2 082          | 1            | <b>2 204</b>   | <b>4.81</b>  |
| Western Cape   | 2012 | 150          | 657           | 12 393         | 2            | <b>13 202</b>  | <b>4.98</b>  |
| Western Cape   | 2013 | 183          | 541           | 10 082         |              | <b>10 806</b>  | <b>5.01</b>  |
| <b>Total</b>   |      | <b>3 058</b> | <b>14 267</b> | <b>183 503</b> | <b>1 527</b> | <b>202 355</b> | <b>7.05</b>  |

### **3. Rif Concordance**

Rifampicin concordance is good for both LPA and culture. There is significant regional variation in Rifampicin mono-resistance. The national average is 12% for DST and 17% for LPA. This could be attributed to a number of factors such as geographical variation, laboratory variation, interpretation of LPA, reliability of gold standard or even strain variation.

Testing and clinical algorithms show variation across provinces, requiring standardisation as this leads to significant confusion in all aspects of the testing cycle, as well as in some cases, being more onerous to the TB patients themselves.

**Table 5: Rif Concordance by LPA or DST**

| Province      | Rif Resistant Cases | GeneXpert Confirmation & Rif Concordance |       |                 |       |                |           |     |                 |       |               |
|---------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------|-------|-----------------|-------|----------------|-----------|-----|-----------------|-------|---------------|
|               |                     | DST                                      |       |                 |       |                | LPA       |     |                 |       |               |
|               |                     | Confirmed                                |       | Rif Concordance |       | Pre-analytical | Confirmed |     | Rif Concordance |       | Indeterminate |
|               |                     | #                                        | %     | #               | %     |                | #         | %   | #               | %     |               |
| Eastern Cape  | 1153                | 47                                       | 4.1%  | 10              | 21.3% | 0              | 46        | 4%  | 45              | 97.8% | 1             |
| Free State    | 724                 | 15                                       | 2.1%  | 7               | 46.7% | 11             | 79        | 11% | 64              | 81.0% | 14            |
| Gauteng       | 895                 | 21                                       | 2.3%  | 16              | 76.2% | 21             | 90        | 10% | 84              | 93.3% | 2             |
| Kwazulu-Natal | 2726                | 686                                      | 25.2% | 652             | 95.0% | 0              | 631       | 23% | 509             | 80.7% | 28            |
| Limpopo       | 380                 | 28                                       | 7.4%  | 27              | 96.4% | 1              | 44        | 12% | 39              | 88.6% | 0             |
| Mpumalanga    | 514                 | 81                                       | 15.8% | 78              | 96.3% | 1              | 131       | 25% | 111             | 84.7% | 2             |
| North West    | 435                 | 8                                        | 1.8%  | 7               | 87.5% | 2              | 50        | 11% | 47              | 94.0% | 6             |
| Northern Cape | 343                 | 24                                       | 7.0%  | 17              | 70.8% | 8              | 55        | 16% | 47              | 85.5% | 8             |
| Western Cape  | 782                 | 1                                        | 0.1%  | 0               | 0.0%  | 3              | 235       | 30% | 234             | 99.6% | 0             |
| National      | 7 952               | 911                                      | 11.5% | 814             | 89.4% | 47             | 1 361     | 17% | 1 180           | 86.7% | 61            |

#### 4. Errors

Errors have ranged consistently below 3%, with four out of nine provinces reporting above 3%. A couple of laboratories experienced an increase in the number of errors related to cartridges on lot numbers 11305A, 11114A, 111709A and 11108A. Error log reports were downloaded on disc and submitted to Cepheid for investigation. The lost cartridges have been replaced in other labs and others still pending analysis.

In addition 8 laboratories reported an increase in the number of errors due to hardware failures of the modules. Modules were replaced in 7/8 labs and the remaining lab is pending analysis. Details of the invalid results, which likely represent sample issues remains below 1%. These are being monitored regularly and corrective action implemented where necessary.

**Table 6: Number of Unsuccessful Tests and Reasons**

| PROVINCE     | YEAR | ERR  | INV | NORES | No Raw Result | MTB Result | Total   | % Error |
|--------------|------|------|-----|-------|---------------|------------|---------|---------|
| Eastern Cape | 2011 | 501  | 47  | 6     | 1             | 18707      | 19 262  | 2.60    |
| Eastern Cape | 2012 | 2552 | 198 | 126   | 17            | 101874     | 104 767 | 2.44    |
| Eastern Cape | 2013 | 2617 | 391 | 351   | 4             | 115112     | 118 475 | 2.21    |
| Free State   | 2011 | 27   |     |       | 6             | 17674      | 17 707  | 0.15    |
| Free State   | 2012 | 229  | 21  | 26    | 4             | 88761      | 89 041  | 0.26    |
| Free State   | 2013 | 313  | 58  | 24    | 2             | 53603      | 54 000  | 0.58    |
| Gauteng      | 2011 | 371  | 47  | 6     |               | 21992      | 22 416  | 1.66    |
| Gauteng      | 2012 | 2043 | 187 | 55    |               | 83805      | 86 090  | 2.37    |



|                |               |               |              |              |              |                  |                  |             |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|
| Gauteng        | 2013          | 2464          | 257          | 128          | 2            | 74497            | <b>77 348</b>    | <b>3.19</b> |
| Kwa-Zulu Natal | 2011          | 1147          | 541          | 39           | 2            | 60695            | <b>62 424</b>    | <b>1.84</b> |
| Kwa-Zulu Natal | 2012          | 5132          | 665          | 436          |              | 169967           | <b>176 200</b>   | <b>2.91</b> |
| Kwa-Zulu Natal | 2013          | 4767          | 467          | 622          | 4            | 111760           | <b>117 620</b>   | <b>4.05</b> |
| Limpopo        | 2011          | 134           | 28           | 10           | 1            | 19958            | <b>20 131</b>    | <b>0.67</b> |
| Limpopo        | 2012          | 587           | 102          | 11           |              | 35704            | <b>36 404</b>    | <b>1.61</b> |
| Limpopo        | 2013          | 2027          | 260          | 79           |              | 58723            | <b>61 089</b>    | <b>3.32</b> |
| Mpumalanga     | 2011          | 1027          | 73           | 6            | 1            | 15412            | <b>16 519</b>    | <b>6.22</b> |
| Mpumalanga     | 2012          | 1040          | 65           | 17           |              | 26075            | <b>27 197</b>    | <b>3.82</b> |
| Mpumalanga     | 2013          | 578           | 62           | 18           | 1            | 16750            | <b>17 409</b>    | <b>3.32</b> |
| North West     | 2011          | 616           | 41           |              |              | 18363            | <b>19 020</b>    | <b>3.24</b> |
| North West     | 2012          | 1752          | 142          | 83           |              | 34177            | <b>36 154</b>    | <b>4.85</b> |
| North West     | 2013          | 1486          | 108          | 47           |              | 34346            | <b>35 987</b>    | <b>4.13</b> |
| Northern Cape  | 2011          | 557           | 129          | 14           | 35           | 18981            | <b>19 716</b>    | <b>2.83</b> |
| Northern Cape  | 2012          | 87            | 123          | 9            | 973          | 28086            | <b>29 278</b>    | <b>0.30</b> |
| Northern Cape  | 2013          | 383           | 240          | 29           | 423          | 22805            | <b>23 880</b>    | <b>1.60</b> |
| Western Cape   | 2011          | 26            | 5            |              |              | 12297            | <b>12 328</b>    | <b>0.21</b> |
| Western Cape   | 2012          | 540           | 38           | 18           |              | 81629            | <b>82 225</b>    | <b>0.66</b> |
| Western Cape   | 2013          | 1134          | 102          | 27           |              | 67365            | <b>68 628</b>    | <b>1.65</b> |
| <b>Total</b>   | <b>56 124</b> | <b>34 138</b> | <b>4 397</b> | <b>2 187</b> | <b>1 476</b> | <b>1 409 163</b> | <b>1 451 361</b> | <b>2.35</b> |


**Figure 1: GeneXpert Error by Month**





## 5. Monthly uptake since implementation started

**Figure 2: GeneXpert Monthly Uptake**



Monthly uptake increased steadily since program inception. The main reason for interruptions is due to the variation in work practices which is expected during the December period. In addition, there was a global shortage in the supply of Xpert MTB/RIF® cartridges in the months of July, October and November 2012. This was resolved in December 2012. Another shortage was experienced in March. The stock supply was stabilized in April. In addition Cepheid re-introduced the supply of 50 kit cartridges to high volume sites.

## 6. Further project phases as defined in the NTCM model

**Phase I** has been completed and has been reported on in the section above.

**Phase IIa** involves full capacitation of existing labs: Completed

**Phase IIb:** Full capacitation of high burden districts. Completed

**Phase IIIa and b:** Gates funded study (Gauteng, EC and Free State). Phase 3a Completed

**Phase IIIc:** ensuring all districts have a minimum of 1 instrument per district: In Progress

**Phase IIId:** Completion of all current microscopy and clinic sites: In Progress


## 7. Phased Implementation Progress

**Table 7: Phased Implementation Progress**

| Phase        | GX4       | GX16       | GX48     | TOTAL      | Placed     | % Completion |
|--------------|-----------|------------|----------|------------|------------|--------------|
| Phase 1/2a   | 7         | 30         | 1        | 38         | 38         | 100          |
| Phase 2b     | 22        | 23         | 1        | 46         | 46         | 100          |
| Phase 3a     | 3         | 10         | 0        | 13         | 13         | 100          |
| Phase 3b     | 2         | 11         | 0        | 13         | 13         | 100          |
| Phase 3c     | 6         | 28         | 0        | 34         | 34         | 100          |
| Phase 3d     | 41        | 83         | 0        | 124        | 98         | 79           |
| <b>TOTAL</b> | <b>81</b> | <b>185</b> | <b>2</b> | <b>268</b> | <b>242</b> | <b>90</b>    |

To date implementation is 90% complete.

**Figure 3: Current GeneXpert Placement** (175 testing centers, 242 analysers, Gx4: 77; Gx16-8: 1; Gx16: 162; GX48:1; Gx80-48: 1) \*20 clinic placements



## 8. Training: Laboratory and Clinical

A total of 720 laboratory staff and 2,542 health care workers have been trained since December 2011. This will be an ongoing process to support NDoH training on clinical algorithm. Laboratory staff received both clinical and technical training.

## 9. Challenges identified during the course of the project to date

- Delay in training health care workers, especially doctors whose availability is limited, on clinical algorithm: is being addressed
- Rollout of EGK to avoid duplications
- Laboratories using GXP for monitoring treatment (and not just diagnosis): is being addressed through training

## 10. Literature Update For GeneXpert

There has been an expansion of the literature with respect to the assay performance. The highlights are summarized in table 11 below:

**Table 8: Recent publications (GeneXpert for pulmonary TB and extrapulmonary TB)**

| Manuscript              | Sample population and specimen type (n=...)                          | Results                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |             |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
|                         |                                                                      | Sensitivity                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Specificity |
| Hanrahan, PLoS one 2013 | N=641 tuberculosis suspects at a primary care clinic in Johannesburg | <p>Among 116 individuals diagnosed with TB, 66 (57%) were Xpert negative, of which 44 (67%) were empirical or radiological diagnoses and 22 (33%) were Xpert negative/culture-positive. The median time to tuberculosis treatment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 0 days (IQR: 0-0) for Xpert positives,</li> <li>• 14 days (IQR: 5-35) for those diagnosed empirically,</li> <li>• 14 days (IQR: 7-29) for radiological diagnoses,</li> <li>• 144 days (IQR: 28-180) for culture positives</li> </ul> |             |



|                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                              |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Antonenka et al, BMC Infect Dia, 2013 | 121 pre-characterized respiratory specimens tested for the presence of MTB complex by the three assays: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Xpert MTB/RIF</li><li>• ProbeTec ET DTB (DTB) (Becton-Dickinson)</li><li>• COBAS TaqMan MTB (CTM-MTB) (Roche).</li></ul> | Overall sensitivity for detection of MTB complex in culture positive samples: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 74.6% Xpert MTB/RIF</li><li>• 73.8% CTM-MTB</li><li>• 79.1% DTB</li></ul> | Specificity was best for CTM-MTB (100%) and lowest for Xpert MTB/RIF (96.2%) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

**11. Update on GeneXpert Research projects:**

- ~1700 Dried Culture Spots (DCS) for verification of GeneXperts for quarter 3 of implementation are in preparation.
  - i. A manuscript has been submitted to JCM on the performance monitoring of the DCS program
- DCS for EQA program: EQA panels have been prepared for =144 NHLS sites (64 Gx4; 133 Gx16; 2 Gx48). These have been shipped out. Result submission has been extended to the end of July as some sites did not receive the correct number of panels. To date, 104 reports have been issued.
- DCS for ACTG sites: EQA panels have been shipped to n=23 international ACTG sites (27Gx4; 2Gx16; 1Gx80). This includes all three EQA rounds for the whole year. To date 22 ACTG sites have already submitted their EQA results.
- Awaiting CDC approval of TB EQA 5 matrix evaluation manuscript below:
- TBGxMonitor™ ([www.tbgxmonitor.com](http://www.tbgxmonitor.com)) automated GeneXpert Verification and EQA reporting platform has been upgraded to include full EQA report processing. Both Verification and EQA components have been completed. The next major upgrade Phase 3 has been completed and is currently live. The new site automatically releases all reports in real-time for both Verification and EQA. Currently the site has processed over 100 EQA reports for NHLS laboratories in the first GeneXpert EQA round for 2013 which is still

ongoing. This site is also currently serving the NHLS / Wits EQA provided to the ACTG Smile Group with laboratories in 10 countries.

- Alternative specimen preparation protocols:
  - i. Protocols being developed for TB diagnosis in children. A manuscript is underway on paediatric TB diagnosis using the GeneXpert.
  - ii. The evaluation of 1175 EPTB specimens has been performed and data analysed. The table below summarises the overall sensitivity and specificity of Xpert performance across all specimens. This has further been analysed by specimen type, sample volume, sample viscosity, appearance, requirement of centrifugation etc. the manuscript is underway. This data set was also submitted to the WHO as part of the metanalysis

| Xpert assay performance   | MGIT culture | Xpert       | Sensitivity<br>(Culture Reference) | Specificity<br>(Culture Reference) |
|---------------------------|--------------|-------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Total sample number       | n=1175       |             |                                    |                                    |
| M.tb positive, n(%)       | 277 (23.5%)  | 260 (22%)   | 59% (53, 65)                       | n=1045<br>92% (90, 94)             |
| M.tb negative, n(%)       | 774 (65.9%)  | 909 (77.4%) |                                    |                                    |
| Contaminated/ error, n(%) | 124 (10.5%)  | 6 (0.5%)    |                                    |                                    |
| MTB sensitive, n          |              | 231         |                                    |                                    |
| MTB Rif resistant, n      |              | 25          |                                    |                                    |
| MTB Rif indeterminate, n  |              | 4           |                                    |                                    |

- Connectivity: Collaboration with Cepheid ongoing
  - i. Remote connectivity – System deployed on more than 100 sites by Cepheid and the NHLS. More than 340,000 results reported to date. The current pilot system cannot handle the additional testing capacity which will be addressed in the full product version. Discussions are currently under way to include the remainder of the NHLS sites on the system, purge the data and begin monitoring again to assist in the evaluation of the ongoing rollout.
  - ii. The first point of care site (Botshabelo Clinic, North West Province) has gone live on the Cepheid Dashboard with an additional 2 sites to be connected. These sites are using Metacom-sponsored routers (3G) connection for reporting.

## 12. HIV/TB Integration

- Grand Challenges Canada project: Multiple POC HIV/TB integration feasibility project
  - o Phase I complete



- o Phase II: Evaluation of nurse operated POC versus routine lab completed at HH Themba Lethu clinic (n=326) complete.
  - Manuscript in progress.
- o RCT: ~n=452 patients (POC arm =226; SOC =226) recruited into the study.
  - An interim analysis of turnaround times indicates that:
  - 75% of specimens are collected from the clinic and received at the laboratory within one day
  - 17% of lab results have a same day turnaround time, with 68% completed in one day
  - Once laboratory results are printed, 17% are stamped in the clinic within the same day and 55% a day later
- o Sub-study 1: to investigate feasibility and patient acceptance of multiple finger sticks for POC testing: Completed. Awaiting re-submission.
- o Sub-study 2: to investigate various blood specimen storage and transport options: This study will compare viral load testing on Dried Blood Spots (DBS) to new technologies/alternatives such as Hemaform plates, Primestore tubes and a thicker DBS cards.
  - Patient recruitment has begun at Themba Lethu Clinic, n=15 to date.
- o Sub-study 2: to investigate volumes of blood collected from a finger stick for point of care testing:
  - This is in collaboration with Northwestern University
  - Patient recruitment at Themba Lethu Clinic is complete, n=100
  - Data analysis is underway.
- Connectivity:
  - o Conworx (POCcelerator) and LDS (AegisPOC) to be trialed in 2 sites during RCT. AegisPOC was installed at the first connectivity on 15 September, 2012. The Conworx solution was installed on the 14<sup>th</sup> of December, 2012. An antenna was installed and sufficiently boosted the signal. Both systems are currently running. The connectivity down time experienced at Tigane was resolved.
  - o A preliminary evaluation and comparison of the systems is about to commence as part of the study outputs. The proposed evaluation includes the option to switch the

control site (paper-based) to one of the live systems in order to document and measure the impact on workflow before and after the installation of the system. This proposal is being discussed.

### 13. Grants Submitted

None

### 14. Funding

**Table 9: Total and Percentage Contribution to date by Donor**

| Donor                           | % Contribution |
|---------------------------------|----------------|
| NDoH                            | 24.04          |
| Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation | 7.20           |
| TB Reach                        | 1.42           |
| MSF                             | 0.90           |
| FIND                            | 0.45           |
| USAID                           | 2.45           |
| CDC NHLS 2010/11                | 14.78          |
| CDC NDoH                        | 0.72           |
| CDC NHLS 2011/12                | 1.39           |
| Dr. Niebauer                    | 0.20           |
| Gobal Fund NDOH                 | 40.91          |
| Global Fund RTC                 | 2.78           |
| CDC NDoH                        | 2.77           |
| <b>Subtotal</b>                 | <b>100</b>     |

CDC has contributed 19, 65% towards the program to date.



## 15. Recent Campaigns



HCT Campaign: Rotary Club, Diepsloot.

The Rotary National Department of Health Family Day event was commemorated by the Department of Health from 09-11 May 2013 in Diepsloot and Zandspruit. The NPP teamed up with the National Department of Health (NDOH) in to screen families from the surrounding areas for TB. In summary a total of 60 samples were tested using the GeneXpert of which 59 were negative and 1 was positive for MTBC, RIF not detected.